arXiv:1611.01632v1 [math.OA] 5 Nov 2016

Characterizations of 2-local derivations and local Lie derivations on some algebras

Jun He*, Jiankui Li, Guangyu An, and Wenbo Huang

Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology

Shanghai 200237, China

Abstract

We prove that every 2-local derivation from the algebra $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ into its bimodule $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ is a derivation, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital Banach algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule such that each Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ is an inner derivation, and that every 2-local derivation on a C*-algebra with a faithful traceable representation is a derivation. We also characterize local and 2-local Lie derivations on some algebras such as von Neumann algebras, nest algebras, Jiang-Su algebra and UHF algebras.

Keywords: 2-local derivation, local Lie derivation, 2-local Lie derivation, matrix algebra, von Neumann algebra

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 46L57; 47B47; 47C15

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. We recall that a linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ is called a derivation if $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and a linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ is called a Jordan derivation if $D(a^2) = D(a)a + aD(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. A derivation D_a defined by $D_a(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is called an inner derivation, where a is a fixed element in $\mathcal{M}$.

In [17], R. Kadison introduces the concept of local derivation in the following sense: a linear mapping T from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ such that for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a derivation $D_a : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$, depending on a, satisfying $T(a) = D_a(a)$. Also in [17], the author proves

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: 15121034934@163.com

that each continuous local derivation from a von Neumann algebra into its dual Banach module is a derivation. B. Jonson [16] extends the above result by proving that every local derivation from a C*-algebra into its Banach bimodule is a derivation. Based on these results, many authors have studied local derivations on operator algebras, for example, see in [12, 14, 19, 27].

In [28] P. Šemrl introduces the concept of 2-local derivations. Recall that a map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ (not necessarily linear) is called a 2-local derivation if for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a derivation $D_{a,b} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that $\Delta(a) = D_{a,b}(a)$ and $\Delta(b) = D_{a,b}(b)$. Moreover, the author proves that every 2-local derivation on $B(H)$ is a derivation. In [18] S. Kim and J. Kim give a short proof of that every 2-local derivation on the algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a derivation. Later J. Zhang and H. Li [30] extend the above result for arbitrary symmetric digraph matrix algebras and construct an example of 2-local derivation which is not a derivation on the algebra of all upper triangular complex 2×2 matrices.

In [2], S. Ayupov and K. Kudaybergenov suggest a new technique and prove that every 2-local derivation on $B(H)$ is a derivation for arbitrary Hilbert space H. Then they consider the cases for several kinds of von Neumann algebras in succession in [3, 4, 5], and finally prove that any 2-local derivation on arbitrary von Neumann algebra is a derivation. Quite recently, in [6] the authors study the case for matrix algebras over unital semiprime Banach algebras, and prove that every 2-local derivation on the algebra $M_{2^n}(\mathcal{A})$, $n \geq 2$, is a derivation, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital semiprime Banach algebra with the inner derivation property.

In Section 2, we improve the above result([6, Theorem 2.1]) for arbitrary $n > 2$. More specifically, we prove that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital Banach algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule such that each Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ is an inner derivation, then each 2-local derivation Δ from $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ into $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ is a derivation. Moreover, if we only consider the case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$, then the assumption of innerness can be relaxed to spatial innerness. That is, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital Banach algebra such that each Jordan derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a derivation and for each derivation D on $\mathcal{A}$, there exists an element a in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $D(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is an algebra containing $\mathcal{A}$, then each 2-local derivation on $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ is a derivation. Based on these, we obtain some applications. We also prove that every 2-local derivation on a C*-algebra with a faithful traceable representation is a derivation.

Recall that a linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a Lie derivation if $\varphi[a, b] = [\varphi(a), b] + [a, \varphi(b)]$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, where $[a, b] = ab - ba$ is the usual Lie product. A Lie derivation φ is said to be standard if it can be decomposed as $\varphi = D + \tau$, where D is a derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ and τ is a linear map from $\mathcal{A}$ into the center of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau[a, b] = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is natural to ask under which conditions each Lie derivation is standard. This

problem has been studied by many authors. M. Mathieu and A. Villena [26] prove that each Lie derivation on a C*-algebra is standard. W. Cheung [10] characterizes Lie derivations on triangular algebras. F. Lu [24] proves that each Lie derivation on a CDCSL(completely distributed commutative subspace lattice) algebra is standard.

Obviously, one can define local and 2-local Lie derivations in a similar way as the local and 2-local derivations. In [9], L. Chen, F. Lu and T. Wang prove that every local Lie derivation on $B(X)$ is a Lie derivation, where X is a Banach space of dimension exceeding 2. Also in this paper [9], the authors characterize 2-local Lie derivations on $B(X)$. Later, L. Chen, and F. Lu [8] prove that every local Lie derivation from a nest algebra $alg\mathcal{N}$ into $B(H)$ is a Lie derivation, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a nest on the Hilbert space H. Quite recently, L. Liu [21] characterizes 2-local Lie derivations on a semi-finite factor von Neumann algebra with dimension greater than 4.

In Section 3, we study local and 2-local Lie derivations on some algebras by using a new technique. On the algebras including factor von Neumann algebras, finite von Neumann algebras, nest algebras, UHF(uniformly hyperfinite) algebras, and the Jiang-Su algebra, we prove that every local Lie derivation is a Lie derivation. On the algebras including factor von Neumann algebras, UHF algebras, and the Jiang-Su algebra, we prove that every 2-local Lie derivation is a Lie derivation. Besides, for a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which is not a factor, we construct an example of 2-local Lie derivation but not a Lie derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.

2 2-Local derivations

Through this paper, we denote by $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all matrices $(x_{i,j})_{n\times n}$, where $x_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, then so is $M_n(\mathcal{A})$, and if $\mathcal{M}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule, then $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ is also an $M_n(\mathcal{A})$-bimodule.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. If each Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ is an inner derivation, then each 2-local derivation Δ from $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ into $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ is a derivation.*

Let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be the system of matrix units in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and denote by $span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ the linear span of the set $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$. Besides, we denote the subalgebra of all diagonal matrices in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ by $D_n(\mathcal{A})$.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need several lemmas. Firstly, we give the following two lemmas. Since the proofs are completely similar as the proofs of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.9 in [6], we omit it.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. If each derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ is an inner derivation, then each derivation from $M_n(\mathcal{A})$*

into $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ is also an inner derivation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. If each Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ is an inner derivation, then for each 2-local derivation Δ from $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ into $M_n(\mathcal{M})$, there exists an element $a \in M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\Delta|_{D_n(\mathcal{A})} = D_a|_{D_n(\mathcal{A})}$ and $\Delta|_{span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n} = D_a|_{span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n}$.*

In following Lemmas 2.4-2.7, the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold. We consider a 2-local derivation δ from $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ into $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\delta|_{D_n(\mathcal{A})} = 0$ and $\delta|_{span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n} = 0$.

In addition, from the definition, it is easy to see that δ is homogeneous, i.e. $\delta(\lambda x) = \lambda\delta(x)$, for each $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, if necessary, we can assume that $\|x_{i,j}\| < 1$, and so $e + x_{i,j}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $x_{i,j}$ is the $(i,j)-$ entry of x and e is the unit of $\mathcal{A}$.

For each $x = (x_{i,j})_{n\times n} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, we denote by $\widehat{x_{i,j}}$ the matrix in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that the $(i,j)-$ entry is $x_{i,j}$ and the others are zero, i.e.

$$\widehat{x_{i,j}} = e_{i,i}xe_{j,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & x_{i,j} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 2.4. *For all $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, $[\delta(x)]_{i,i} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.*

Proof. Let $y = e_{i,i} + \widehat{x_{i,i}}$. By lemma 2.2, there exists an element a in $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\delta(x) = [a, x], \delta(y) = [a, y]$. Since $y \in D_n(\mathcal{A})$, we have $\delta(y) = 0$. For any $k \neq i$,

$$0 = [\delta(y)]_{i,k} = [a, y]_{i,k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(a_{i,j}y_{j,k} - y_{i,j}a_{j,k}) = -y_{i,i}a_{i,k} = -(e + x_{i,i})a_{i,k}.$$

Since $e + x_{i,i}$ is invertible, it follows that $a_{i,k} = 0$. Similarly, $a_{k,i} = 0$, for any $k \neq i$. Now we can obtain

$$[\delta(x)]_{i,i} = [a, x]_{i,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(a_{i,k}x_{k,i} - x_{i,k}a_{k,i}) = [a_{i,i}, x_{i,i}] = [a, y]_{i,i} = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.5. *For each $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, let*

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & x_{1,j} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i,1} & \dots & x_{i,j} & \dots & x_{i,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & x_{n,j} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. $y = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(\widehat{x_{i,k}} + \widehat{x_{k,j}}) - \widehat{x_{i,j}}$. *Then* $[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = [\delta(y)]_{i,j}$.

Proof. Take an element a from $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\delta(x) = [a, x]$, and $\delta(y) = [a, y]$. It is easy to verify the above result by direct calculation. □

Lemma 2.6. *For all* $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, $[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = [\delta(\widehat{x_{i,j}})]_{i,j}$, *whenever* $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let

$$y = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(\widehat{x_{i,k}} + \widehat{x_{k,j}}) - \widehat{x_{i,j}}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, $[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = [\delta(y)]_{i,j}$. Let $s \neq i$, and $z = y - \widehat{x_{s,j}} + e_{s,i}$, i.e.

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & x_{1,j} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i,1} & \dots & x_{i,i} & \dots & x_{i,j} & \dots & x_{i,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & e & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & x_{n,j} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Take an element a in $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\delta(y) = [a, y], \delta(z) = [a, z]$. Then

$$[\delta(y)]_{i,i} - [\delta(z)]_{i,i} = [a, y - z]_{i,i} = [a, \widehat{x_{s,j}} - e_{s,i}]_{i,i} = -a_{i,s}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, $[\delta(y)]_{i,i} = [\delta(z)]_{i,i} = 0$, and so $a_{i,s} = 0$. Thus

$$[\delta(y)]_{i,j} - [\delta(z)]_{i,j} = [a, y - z]_{i,j} = [a, \widehat{x_{s,j}} - e_{s,i}]_{i,j} = a_{i,s}x_{s,j} = 0,$$

i.e. $[\delta(y)]_{i,j} = [\delta(z)]_{i,j}$. Let

$$w = z - e_{s,i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & x_{1,j} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i,1} & \dots & x_{i,i} & \dots & x_{i,j} & \dots & x_{i,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & x_{n,j} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

again by Lemma 2.5, we have $[\delta(z)]_{i,j} = [\delta(w)]_{i,j}$. Now we have $[\delta(y)]_{i,j} = [\delta(y - \widehat{x_{s,j}})]_{i,j}$. Repeating the above steps, we can obtain that $[\delta(y)]_{i,j} = [\delta(u)]_{i,j}$, where

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\widehat{x_{i,k}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i,1} & \dots & x_{i,j} & \dots & x_{i,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$[\delta(u)]_{i,j} = [\delta(u - \widehat{x_{i,s}} + e_{j,s})]_{i,j} = [\delta(u - \widehat{x_{i,s}})]_{i,j}, for s \neq j.$$

It follows that $[\delta(u)]_{i,j} = [\delta(\widehat{x_{i,j}})]_{i,j}$ by taking other indexes successively. Hence

$$[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = [\delta(y)]_{i,j} = [\delta(u)]_{i,j} = [\delta(\widehat{x_{i,j}})]_{i,j}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.7. *For all $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, $[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = 0$, whenever $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Assume that $i < j$. Let

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e_{k+1,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ e & 0 & & & \\ 0 & e & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \dots & e & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is not difficult to check that every matrix a in $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ commuting with v satisfies the following properties:

$$a_{k,k} = a_{s,s}, \quad a_{k,s} = 0, whenever \quad k < s.$$

Let y be a matrix in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that $y_{i,i} = e + x_{i,j}, y_{i,j} = x_{i,j}$ and the other entries of y are all zero. Take an element a from $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\delta(y) = [a, y], \delta(v) = [a, v]$. Since $v \in span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$, $\delta(v) = [a, v] = 0$. Thus $a_{i,i} = a_{j,j}$, and $a_{i,j} = 0$. Since $y_{j,i} = 0$, by Lemma 2.6, $[\delta(y)]_{j,i} = 0$. Then

$$0 = [\delta(y)]_{j,i} = [a, y]_{j,i} = a_{j,i}y_{i,i} = a_{j,i}(e + x_{i,j}).$$

Since $e + x_{i,j}$ is invertible, it implies that $a_{j,i} = 0$. Thus

$$[\delta(y)]_{i,i} = [a, y]_{i,i} = a_{i,i}y_{i,i} - y_{i,i}a_{i,i} - y_{i,j}a_{j,i} = a_{i,i}x_{i,j} - x_{i,j}a_{i,i}.$$

Therefore

$$[\delta(y)]_{i,j} = [a, y]_{i,j} = a_{i,i}y_{i,j} - y_{i,i}a_{i,j} - y_{i,j}a_{j,j} = a_{i,i}x_{i,j} - x_{i,j}a_{i,i} = [\delta(y)]_{i,i} = 0.$$

Again by Lemma 2.6,

$$[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = [\delta(y)]_{i,j} = 0.$$

Similarly we can show that $[\delta(x)]_{i,j} = 0$ if $i > j$. The proof is complete. □

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. According to Lemma 2.3, there exists a derivation D_a such that $\Delta|_{D_n(\mathcal{A})} = D_a|_{D_n(\mathcal{A})}$ and $\Delta|_{span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n} = D_a|_{span\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n}$. Let $\delta = \Delta - D_a$. Then by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.7, we have $\delta = 0$, i.e. $\Delta = D_a$. It follows that Δ is a derivation. □

The following corollaries are some specific examples for applying Theoerm 2.1.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital commutative C*-algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Then each 2-local derivation from $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ into $M_n(\mathcal{M}^*)$ is a derivation.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule, so is $\mathcal{M}^*$. We know $\mathcal{A}$ is amenable, that is each derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}^*$ is an inner derivation [29]. Besides, there is a classic result that each Jordan derivation from a C*-algebra into its bimodule is a derivation (see [15]). By Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C*-algebra. Then each 2-local derivation from $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ into $M_n(\mathcal{A}^*)$ is a derivation.*

Proof. Every C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly amenable, that is, each derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}^*$ is an inner derivation [29]. By Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.10. *Each 2-local derivation on the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ is a derivation, if the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:*
(1) *$\mathcal{A} = alg\mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with the property that $0_+ \neq 0$ or $\mathcal{H}_- \neq \mathcal{H}$;*
(2) *$\mathcal{A} = alg(N_1 \bigotimes N_2 \bigotimes \cdots \bigotimes N_n)$, where each N_i is a nest, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.*

Proof. (1) According to [22, Theorem 2.1], each Jordan derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a derivation. And see in [20] , each derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is an inner derivation. (2) It is known that $N_1 \bigotimes N_2 \bigotimes \cdots \bigotimes N_n$ is still a CSL, so Jordan derivations on $\mathcal{A}$ are derivations [23]. In [13], F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser and D. Larson prove that the cohomology vanishes for CSL algebras whose lattices are generated by finite independent nests. Applying this result, we immediately obtain that derivations on $\mathcal{A}$ are inner derivations. By Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nest algebra of infinite multiplicity. Then each 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a derivation.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is of infinite multiplicity, it is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ for some nest algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and some integer $n > 2$. It is known that each derivation on nest algebras is an inner derivation [11]. By Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i$ be a Banach algebra with the inner derivation property, i.e. all derivations on $\mathcal{A}$ are inner derivations. If each 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{A}_i$ is a derivation for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then each 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is also a derivation.*

Proof. We only consider the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_2$. For a 2-local derivation δ on $\mathcal{A}$, denote by δ_i the restriction of δ in $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. One can easily verify that $\delta(a_i) = \delta_i(a_i) \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for each $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, moreover, δ_i is a 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{A}_i$, and thus a derivation.

For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we write $a = a_1 + a_2$, where $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. By the definition of 2-local derivation, there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\delta(a) = [a, x] = [a_1, x_1] + [a_2, x_2]$ and $\delta(a_1) = [a_1, x] = [a_1, x_1]$. Similarly, there exists an element $y \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\delta(a) = [a, y] = [a_1, y_1] + [a_2, y_2]$ and $\delta(a_2) = [a_2, y] = [a_2, y_2]$. So we can obtain

$$\delta(a) = \delta(a_1) + [a_2, x_2] = [a_1, y_1] + \delta(a_2).$$

It follows that $\delta(a_1) = [a_1, y_1]$, $\delta(a_2) = [a_2, x_2]$ and thus $\delta(a) = \delta(a_1) + \delta(a_2)$. Hence δ is linear. Moreover, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(ab) &= \delta(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) \\
&= \delta(a_1b_1) + \delta(a_2b_2) \\
&= \delta(a_1)b_1 + a_1\delta(b_1) + \delta(a_2)b_2 + a_2\delta(b_2) \\
&= (\delta(a_1) + \delta(a_2))(b_1 + b_2) + (a_1 + a_2)(\delta(b_1) + \delta(b_2)) \\
&= \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence δ is a derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra without direct summand of type I_1 and I_2. Then each 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a derivation.*

Proof. It is well known that $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i$, where each $\mathcal{A}_i$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ for some von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and some integer $n > 2$. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.12, the result follows. □

Remark 2.14. *In [4] and [5], the authors show that each 2-local derivation on a von Neumann algebra is a derivation in other ways. By comparison, our proof is more simple. However, we can not handle the case for type I_2. In addition, for the case of type I_1, the result is trival, since each derivation on an abelian von Neumann algebra is zero.*

Corollary 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital algebra with the inner derivation property and $n \geq 6$ be a positive integer but not a prime number. Then each 2-local derivation on the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is a derivation.*

Proof. Suppose $n = rt$, where $r > 2$ and $t > 1$. Then $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $M_r(M_t(\mathcal{A}))$. In [1], the author proves that each Jordan derivation on $M_t(\mathcal{A})(t > 1)$ is a derivation. By Theorem 2.1, the proof is complete. □

Through the same technique with the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra such that:*
(1) *each Jordan derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a derivation;*
(2) *for each derivation D on $\mathcal{A}$, there exists an element a in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $D(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is an algebra containing $\mathcal{A}$.*
Then each 2-local derivation on $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ is a derivation.

Corollary 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C*-algebra. Then each 2-local derivation on $M_n(\mathcal{A})(n > 2)$ is a derivation.*

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C*-algebra, π a representation of $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. Then π is said to be a *traceable representation* if there exists a faithful normal trace τ on $\mathcal{M}^+$ such that $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \bigcap \mathcal{M}_\tau$ is weakly dense in $\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}_\tau$ denotes the span of the set $\{M \in \mathcal{M}^+ : \tau(M) < \infty\}$. Especially, a finite representation π(i.e. the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is finite) can be regarded as a specific traceable representation.

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C*-algebra with a faithful traceable representation π. Then each 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a derivation.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$, and τ a faithful normal trace on $\mathcal{M}^+$ such that $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is weakly dense in $\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ denotes $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \bigcap \mathcal{M}_\tau$. It is known that $\mathcal{M}_\tau$ is a two-side ideal of $\mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is also a two-side ideal of $\pi(\mathcal{A})$.

For a 2-local derivation Δ on $\mathcal{A}$, define $\delta = \pi \circ \Delta \circ \pi^{-1}$. Then δ is a 2-local derivation on $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. For each $x \in \pi(\mathcal{A})$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$, there exists an element $m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\delta(x) = [m, x]$ and $\delta(y) = [m, y]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\delta(x)y) &= \tau((mx - xm)y) = \tau(mxy) - \tau(xmy)\\ &= \tau(xym) - \tau(xmy) = \tau(x(ym - my))\\ &= -\tau(x\delta(y)).\end{aligned}$$

For each $a, b \in \pi(\mathcal{A})$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\delta(a + b)x) &= -\tau((a + b)\delta(x)) = -\tau(a\delta(x)) - \tau(b\delta(x))\\ &= \tau(\delta(a)x) + \tau(\delta(b)x)\\ &= \tau((\delta(a) + \delta(b))x).\end{aligned}$$

It means that $\tau(ux) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$, where u denotes $\delta(a+b) - \delta(a) - \delta(b)$. For any $y \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$, by taking $x = yy^*u^*$, we obtain $\tau(uyy^*u^*) = 0$. Since τ is faithful and $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is weakly dense, we have $uy = 0$ and thus $u = 0$.

Hence δ is additive, and thus a local derivation, moreover, a derivation. It follows that $\Delta = \pi^{-1} \circ \delta \circ \pi$ is a derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. The proof is complete. □

As direct applications of the above theorem, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.19. *Each 2-local derivation on a UHF C*-algebra is a derivation.*

Corollary 2.20. *Each 2-local derivation on the Jiang-Su algebra is a derivation.*

3 Local and 2-local Lie derivations

In this section, we study local and 2-local Lie derivations on some algebras. The main results are as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:*
(1) *each Lie derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is standard;*
(2) *each derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is inner;*
(3) *each local derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is a derivation;*
(4) *every nonzero element in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$(the center of $\mathcal{B}$) can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}$.*
Then each local Lie derivation φ on $\mathcal{B}$ is a Lie derivation.

Proof. By the definition of local Lie derivation, for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a Lie derivation φ_A such that $\varphi_A(A) = \varphi(A)$. Since φ_A is standard, $\varphi_A = \delta_A + \tau_A$, where δ_A is a derivation and τ_A is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\tau_A[X, Y] = 0$, for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$\varphi(A) = \delta_A(A) + \tau_A(A) = [A, T_A] + \tau_A(A)$$

for some $T_A \in \mathcal{B}$, which means that φ has a decomposition at each point. In the following we prove that the decomposition is unique. Suppose

$$\varphi(A) = \delta_A^{'}(A) + \tau_A^{'}(A) = [A, T_A^{'}] + \tau_A^{'}(A).$$

Then

$$[A, T_A] + \tau_A(A) = [A, T_A^{'}] + \tau_A^{'}(A).$$

Thus

$$[A, T_A] - [A, T_A^{'}] = \tau_A^{'}(A) - \tau_A(A).$$

Since every nonzero element in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, we obtain

$$[A, T_A] - [A, T_A^{'}] = \tau_A^{'}(A) - \tau_A(A) = 0,$$

and so

$$\delta_A(A) = \delta_A^{'}(A), \tau_A(A) = \tau_A^{'}(A).$$

Now we can define

$$\delta(A) = \delta_A(A) = [A, T_A] \text{ and } \tau(A) = \tau_A(A),$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$. We shall prove δ and τ are linear. For all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, since

$$\varphi(A + B) = \varphi(A) + \varphi(B),$$

we obtain that

$$[A, T_A] + [B, T_B] - [A + B, T_{A+B}] = \tau(A + B) - \tau(A) - \tau(B).$$

Hence

$$[A + B, T_{A+B}] = [A, T_A] + [B, T_B], \quad \tau(A + B) = \tau(A) + \tau(B).$$

It means that δ and τ are additive. Similarly, we can prove that δ and τ are homogeneous, and so linear. Hence δ is a local derivation, by assumption, a derivation, and τ is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\tau[X, Y] = 0$, for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows that φ is a Lie derivation. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 3.2. *Each local Lie derivation on a factor von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is a Lie derivation.*

Proof. It is known that every element in $\mathcal{M}$ can be written in the form $\lambda I + \sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}$(see, [7]). If the unit I can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}$, then by Theorem 3.1, the result follows. Otherwise, every element in $\mathcal{M}$ has the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$. Hence each Lie derivation is a derivations, so every local Lie derivation is a Lie derivation. □

The following result is proved in [8]. We show it by Theorem 3.1 as an alternative method.

Corollary 3.3. *Each local Lie derivation on a nest algebra $\mathcal{A} = alg\mathcal{N}$ is a Lie derivation.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}$ is of infinite multiplicity, then every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ has the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{A}$([25, Theorem 4.7]). In this case, all Lie derivations are derivations, and so the result is trival.

Otherwise, suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is not of infinite multiplicity. Then $\mathcal{N}$ has a finite-dimensional atom P. It is known that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the conditions (1) to (3) of Theorem 3.1(see in [24, 11, 14]), so it is sufficient to check the condition (4). We know $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}I$. Assume that the unit I can be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$. It is known that the linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to P\mathcal{A}P$ defined by $\sigma(A) = PAP$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphism. So we have

$$P = PIP = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P[A_i, B_i]P = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\sigma(A_i), \sigma(B_i)].$$

It follows that there is no trace on $P\mathcal{A}P$, which contradicts that $P\mathcal{A}P$ has finite dimension. Therefore I can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:*
(1) *each Lie derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is standard;*
(2) *each derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is inner;*
(3) *each local derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is a derivation;*
(4) *there exists a center-valued trace on $\mathcal{B}$.*
Then each local Lie derivation φ on $\mathcal{B}$ is a Lie derivation.

Proof. Since there exists a center-valued trace on $\mathcal{B}$, every nonzero element in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}$. By Theorem 3.1, the result follows. □

Corollary 3.5. *Each local Lie derivation on a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is a Lie derivation.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is finite, there exists a central valued trace on $\mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 3.4, the result follows. □

Remark 3.6. *For a properly infinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, since every element in $\mathcal{M}$ has the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}$(see, [7]), all Lie derivations are derivations, so every local Lie derivation is a Lie derivation.*

Also as applications of Theorem 3.4, we can immediately obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.7. *Each local Lie derivation on a UHF C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a Lie derivation.*

Corollary 3.8. *Each local Lie derivation on the Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ is a Lie derivation.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:*
(1) *each Lie derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is standard;*
(2) *each derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is inner;*
(3) *each 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{B}$ is a derivation;*

(4) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{C}I$, *where* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ *is the center of* $\mathcal{B}$*;*
(5) *every element in* $\mathcal{B}$ *can be written in the form* $\lambda I + \sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, *where* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}$.
Then each 2-local Lie derivation φ *on* $\mathcal{B}$ *is a Lie derivation.*

Proof. Similarly with the foregoing discussion, if I can be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, then the result is trival. We assume that I can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$. In this case, we have proved that φ has a unique decomposition at each point A in $\mathcal{B}$, i.e.

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi_A(A) = \delta_A(A) + \tau_A(A),$$

where φ_A is a Lie derivation, δ_A is a derivation and τ_A is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\tau_A[X, Y] = 0$, for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$. Similarly we can define

$$\delta(A) = \delta_A(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(A) = \tau_A(A),$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Now it is sufficient to show that δ is a derivation and τ is linear. Given A and B in $\mathcal{B}$, there exists a Lie derivation $\varphi_{A,B}$ such that

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi_{A,B}(A) = \delta_{A,B}(A) + \tau_{A,B}(A),$$

and

$$\varphi(B) = \varphi_{A,B}(B) = \delta_{A,B}(B) + \tau_{A,B}(B),$$

where $\delta_{A,B} + \tau_{A,B}$ is the standard decomposition of $\varphi_{A,B}$. By the uniqueness of the decomposition, $\delta(A) = \delta_{A,B}(A)$ and $\delta(B) = \delta_{A,B}(B)$. Hence δ is a 2-local derivation and thus a derivation. Now Our task is to show that τ is linear. It is easy to see that φ is homogeneous, and so is τ. If both A and B have the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, then the equation $\tau(A+B) = \tau(A) + \tau(B)$ is trival. Otherwise, suppose $A = \lambda I + \sum_{i=1}^{n}[A_i, B_i]$, where $\lambda \neq 0$. Take a Lie derivation $\varphi_{A,A+B}$ such that

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi_{A,A+B}(A) = \delta_{A,A+B}(A) + \tau_{A,A+B}(A),$$

and

$$\varphi(A+B) = \varphi_{A,A+B}(A+B) = \delta_{A,A+B}(A+B) + \tau_{A,A+B}(A+B),$$

where $\delta_{A,A+B} + \tau_{A,A+B}$ is the standard decomposition of $\varphi_{A,A+B}$. From

$$\tau(A) = \tau_{A,B}(A) = \tau_{A,A+B}(A),$$

we have $\tau_{A,B}(I) = \tau_{A,A+B}(I)$, and thus

$$\tau_{A,B}(B) = \tau_{A,A+B}(B).$$

Now we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(A+B) &= \tau_{A,A+B}(A+B) = \tau_{A,A+B}(A) + \tau_{A,A+B}(B) \\ &= \tau(A) + \tau_{A,B}(B) = \tau(A) + \tau(B).\end{aligned}$$

Hence τ is additive, and so linear. The proof is complete. □

Directly applying the above theorem, we can obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.10. *Each 2-local Lie derivation on the Jiang-Su algebra is a Lie derivation.*

Corollary 3.11. *Each 2-local Lie derivation on a UHF C*-algebra is a Lie derivation.*

Corollary 3.12. *Each 2-local Lie derivation on a factor von Neumann algebra is a Lie derivation.*

Example 3.13. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra but not a factor, then there exists a 2-local Lie derivation on $\mathcal{M}$ but not a Lie derivation.*

Proof. There exist a center-valued trace τ on $\mathcal{M}$ and a non-trival central projection P in $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose the Hilbert space that $\mathcal{M}$ acts on is H. Take two unital vectors x and y in PH and $(I-P)H$, respectively. For each element $M \in \mathcal{M}$, denote $< \tau(M)x, x >$ and $< \tau(M)y, y >$ by x_M and y_M, respectively.

Define a functional f on $\mathcal{M}$ by follows: $f(M)$ equals to $\frac{x_M^2}{y_M}$ if $y_M \neq 0$, else 0, and define a mapping δ from $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ by $\delta(M) = f(M)P$. Obviously, δ is not linear and thus not a Lie derivation. Now we only need to check that δ is a 2-local Lie derivation, i.e. for each A, B in $\mathcal{M}$, there exists a Lie derivation ϕ on $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\phi(A) = \delta(A)$ and $\phi(B) = \delta(B)$.

case1 $x_A y_B \neq x_B y_A$.

Take

$$\phi(M) = \frac{(f(A)y_B - f(B)y_A)x_M + (f(B)x_A - f(A)x_B)y_M}{x_A y_B - x_B y_A} P.$$

It is not difficult to check that ϕ is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\phi([X,Y]) = 0$ for all X, Y in $\mathcal{M}$. Hence ϕ is a Lie derivation. Moreover, $\phi(A) = \delta(A)$ and $\phi(B) = \delta(B)$.

case2 $x_A y_B = x_B y_A$.

If both y_A and y_B are zero, then it is sufficient to take $\phi \equiv 0$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume $y_A \neq 0$, then take $\phi(M) = \frac{x_A x_M}{y_A} P$. One can easily check that ϕ is a Lie derivation and $\phi(A) = \delta(A)$. If $y_B = 0$, then $x_B = \frac{x_A y_B}{y_A} = 0$ and $\phi(B) = 0 = \delta(B)$. If $y_B \neq 0$, then $\phi(B) = \frac{x_A x_B}{y_A} P = \frac{x_B^2}{y_B} P = \delta(B)$.

The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements. This paper was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 11371136).

References

[1] R. Alizadeh, Jordan derivations of full matrix algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009), 574-578

[2] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, 2-Loacl derivations and automorphisms on $B(H)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 395(1)(2012), 15-18.

[3] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, B. Nurjanov, A. Alauatdinov, Local and 2-local derivations on noncommutative Arens algebras, *Mathematica Slovaca* 64(2014), 423-432.

[4] S. Ayupov, F. Arzikulov, 2-Local derivations on semi-finite von Neumann algebras, *Glasgow Math. J.* 56(2014), 9-12.

[5] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, 2-Local derivations on von Neumann algebras, *Positivity* 19(3)(2014), 445-455.

[6] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, 2-Local derivations on matrix algebras over semi-prime Banach algebras and on AW*-algebras, *Journal of Physics Conference Series* 697(2016).

[7] M. Brešar, E. Kissin, S. Shulman, Lie ideals: from pure algebra to C*-algebras, *J. reine angew. Math.* 623 (2008), 73121.

[8] L. Chen, F. Lu, Local Lie derivations of nest algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 475(2015), 62-72.

[9] L. Chen, F. Lu, T. Wang, Local and 2-local Lie derivations of operator algebras on Banach spaces, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 77(2013), 109-121.

[10] W. Cheung, Lie derivations of triangular algebra, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 51(2003), 299-310.

[11] E. Christensen, Derivations of nest algebras, *Math. Ann* 229(1977), 155-161.

[12] R. Crist, Local derivations on operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 135(1996), 72-92.

[13] F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser, D. Larson, Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 55(2)(1984), 176-199.

[14] D. Hadwin, J. Li, Local derivations and local automorphisms on some algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 60(1)(2008), 29-44.

[15] N. Jacobson, C. Rickart, Jordan homomorphisms of rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69(3)(1950), 479-502.

[16] B. Johnson, Local derivations on C*-algebras are derivations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353(2001), 313-325.

[17] R. Kadison, Local derivations, *J. Algebra* 130(1990), 494-509.

[18] S. Kim, J. Kim, Local automorphisms and derivations on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132(5)(2004), 1389-1392.

[19] D. Larson, A. Sourour, Local derivations and local automorphisms, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 51(1990), 187-194.

[20] J. Li, H. Pendharkar, Derivations of certain algebras, *Internat. J. Math.* 24(5)(2000), 345-349.

[21] L. Liu, 2-Local Lie derivations on semi-finite factor von Neumann algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 64(2016), 1679-1686.

[22] F. Lu, Jordan derivations of reflexive algebras, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 67(2010), 51-56.

[23] F. Lu, The Jordan structure of CSL algebras, *Studia Math.* 190(2009), 283-299.

[24] F. Lu, Lie derivation of certain CSL algebras, *Israel J. Math.* 155(2006), 149-156.

[25] L. Marcoux, A. Sourour, Conjugation-invariant subspaces and Lie ideals in non-selfadjoint operator algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* 65(2)(2002), 493-512.

[26] M. Mathieu, A. Villena, The structure of Lie derivations on C*-algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 202(2003), 504-525.

[27] Y. Pang, W. Yang, Derivations and local derivations on strongly double triangle subspace lattice algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 58(2010), 855-862.

[28] P. Šemrl, Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125(1997), 2677-2680.

[29] V. Runde, Lectures on Amenability, I. Springer Verlag, 2002.

[30] J. Zhang, H. Li, 2-Loacl derivations on digraph algebras, *Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese series* 49(2006), 1401-1406.